



Universal
Alliance.org

**BUILDERS OF AN
EQUITABLE SOCIETY**



Moving Forward Phase

Introduction to Legal Governance

Welcome to Introduction to Legal Governance presented on behalf of our alliance partner i-acuse.com

As Alliances with various groups are formed, we reach higher levels of insight towards creating a path for empowering the people to control our respective legal governance systems. Our Governance web Page located below the learning center is part of the Moving Forward Program, which is about clearly stating the challenges faced by movements and organizations that want to foster a positive change with the way society operates.

We have reached the pinnacle of our research for community groups to actively engage with the Legal and Political Shift with the underlying structure governing our communities, which is imposing a system of oppression against the people.

As presented below by our alliance partner i-acuse.com and Introduced in Social Equity Networks video, we clearly demonstrate the need to restore control of our legal governance system starting within our respective communities prior to escalating it to the provincial or state level.

We should all be aware of a few elements that do not work to foster positive change in society.

1. Voting for & petitioning our elected leaders does not make any significant difference due to control over jurisdiction and our false sense of democracy.
2. Protesting seldom makes a difference; and can place you in arms way especially if the protest turns into confrontation with the authorities.
3. Class action lawsuits against our governments lead nowhere, as they only create a vicious cycle. The taxpayers end up covering all costs and awards granted by the courts, which is the same as suing ourselves.

These elements are supported by the extensive research provided by Nicole from the i-acuse.com team.

From our empirical research the key point to understanding how to move forward is that we the people need to understand the difference between Judicial and Political matters.

As within the judicial system, the court states that we need consensus by the majority of the people for representation, without which the courts can disregard any case relevant to governance or community issues.

The courts have also stated that many cases cannot be judged upon by the court since most of these cases are only relevant to our political governance, therefore the only remedy is to create a political change transitioning from indirect democracy of the parent and child relationship to a direct participatory democracy.

What is important to comprehend is that the court can only judge based on law within their jurisdiction and not on our political governance. This can only be democratically transformed by the State and Province representatives or by the people united.

The courts further state that municipalities are not protected under the constitutions and that citizens are bestowed rights only as benefits and privileges by the State or Province.

These facts can easily be seen within the numerous Federal Court case decisions enacted within Canada and the US. Reference links are available below.

Further awareness about this impasse expressed by the courts should become clear to all groups seeking to improve our governance, because too many are misleading large groups of individuals to unknowingly waste time, money and energy on unproductive court pursuits.

What we highly recommend is for everyone to focus on the root cause of all of our problems, which have been created by politicians within our corporate governments and the courts who have allowed corporations in instilling these problems placing corporations above we the people.

It is extremely important that instead of focusing on isolated issues that do not resolve or eliminate the confusion between the court, the political system, our own jurisdictional authority and individual rights that we all focus on the root cause itself and the holistic solutions to resolve it.

Therefore we conclude that the legal approach for effective change within our governance is about establishing a legal framework that invites the people to agree on how our governance will operate.

In other words, we the people give the constitution our authority, not the other way around. The Constitutions would then fall second to the people's authority through Direct Democracy as being the final decision makers.

The bridging of the puzzle pieces will enable us to build a living constitution through the Convention of Consent and the Public Trust Agreement as introduced in the Social Equity Networks video.

The pivotal elements for the people to take control of our legal governance are:

1. Direct Participatory Democracy
2. Our Constitution has to protect the rights of people above that of corporate entities and institutions.
3. To protect our Individual Rights, demands from each of us the fulfillment of our Duties and Social Responsibilities.

The convention of consent presented by i-acuse.com is the legal framework that can initiate the path to build a bridge between cultures and establish our jurisdiction over the present De Facto governments within our treaty countries.

We strongly believe that this proposal contains the elements for sound governance. For more details, please view the Proposed Direct Democracy Blueprint introduction video.

Secondly, the constitution is a body of law that a duly elected government has to follow and represents our only way to return social justice to society; all other avenues have proven ineffective in creating positive change.

And finally, the Public Trust Agreement is to clearly establish what we the people want for Lawful "Legal Governance," and to ensure transparency and accountability.

What is a Public Trust Agreement?

A Public Trust Agreement is a document that describes the rules that you want for property held in trust on behalf of you, the beneficiary.

When investigating the underlying structure governing the world through Government-registered corporations, we observe the misuse of Public assets and finances, by unaccountable political party representatives handing over public assets and resources to private corporations. Raising the question, "Who are the shareholders?"

When managed under Public Trusts all assets and finances are in trust with trustees, who are fully accountable to protect the public finances and assets within a trust

structure on behalf of the people, the actual beneficiaries and the shareholders of public assets.

In the light of this information, the obvious solution would be for all groups of people to come together, take constructive initiatives, educate the general public about the political governance system and transform governance.

There is an urgent need to train coordinators and to provide fundamental knowledge to all citizens, lawyers, city councilors and the representatives of the people, so that everyone will be clear about what they can expect from the fiduciary and all other duties of our public servants.

To demonstrate the urgency about the issue of governance, we are proposing an excellent trailer "We the People" from the reference links.

Unfortunately, since the production of this video, the Supreme Court of Washington State has determined in March 2016 that the people's initiatives within communities are privileges granted by the State and not inalienable rights.

What we also need to be aware of when undertaking these proceedings is that any government employee, City, Municipal and Band councilors are not representatives of the people but rather the corporate registered government entity.

Therefore it becomes apparent that the only real remedy given our corporate Judicial and Political systems is that a Citizens Convention of Consent be initiated within each State or Province, to return authority to the people.

This will restore our jurisdictional authority and individual rights above that of corporations, it is therefore necessary and paramount to democratically transform our present systems of corporate governance for Direct Participatory Democracy to the people as final decision makers.

The power of your rights lies within the consensus of the people at the individual level and not within the corporate entity or municipal bylaw structure. In other words, the people are the power behind the bylaws and not the municipal entity.

You may ask how would we initiate such a monumental task?

The answer is simply by either signing a secure online e-form or door-to-door original copy, community-by-community until 70% consensus is reached per State or Province. On attaining this goal the power shift will take place and finally governance will be by the people for the people, rather than what has become a global dictatorship over the people.

For those interested in participating and to learn more about how to implement solutions, please select on the join us page that you wish to receive information on becoming an Alliance Partner or Coordinator.

We invite you to become aware of the content below the learning center menu.

On the legal governance page please view the second video presentation Building Alliances for Legal Governance where Nicole from i-acuse.com introduces the Convention of Consent.

We want to clarify that what is being proposed is highly feasible since our existing politicians and governments would simply become who they should be as civil servants working for the people without having the final decision making authority instead of the present political party dictatorship governance placing we the people in our rightful place as the final decision makers of our governance.

Thank you for listening.

Reference links:

The Proposed Direct Democracy Blueprint

<http://universalalliance.org/blog/the-proposed-direct-democracy-blueprint/>

Democracy Over Corporations

<http://democracyovercorporations.org/>

Greenfire Farm

<http://www.greenfirefarm.org/>

We the People

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gGyLRhsGYjU>

Judgments of the Supreme Court of Canada

<https://scc-csc.lexum.com/scc-csc/scc-csc/en/item/13038/index.do>

[Behn v. Moulton Contracting Ltd., 2013 SCC 26, [2013]

Duty to Consult

[26] In defence to Moulton's claim, as I mentioned above, the Behns argue, inter alia, that their conduct was not illegal, because the Crown had issued the Authorizations in breach of the duty to consult and the Authorizations were therefore invalid. The question that arises with respect to this particular defence is whether the Behns can assert the duty to consult on their own in the first place.

[31] In this appeal, it does not appear from the pleadings that the FNFN authorized George Behn or any other person to represent it for the purpose of contesting the legality of the Authorizations. I note, though, that it is alleged in the pleadings of other parties before this Court that the FNFN had implicitly authorized the Behns to represent it. As a matter of fact, the FNFN was a party in the proceedings in the courts below, because Moulton was arguing that it had combined or conspired with others to block access to Moulton's logging sites. The FNFN is also an intervener in this Court. But, given the absence of an allegation of an authorization from the FNFN, in the circumstances of this case, the Behns cannot assert a breach of the duty to consult on their own, as that duty is owed to the Aboriginal community, the FNFN. Even if it were assumed that such a claim by individuals is possible, the allegations in the pleadings provide no basis for one in the context of this appeal.

Court ruling undermines citizens' ballot access

<http://www.thenewstribune.com/opinion/op-ed/article63583382.html>

In February, the Washington Supreme Court continued that trend, removing a citizens' initiative from the Spokane ballot that sought to protect community, environmental and worker rights. In its ruling, the court declared that the people's local initiative power isn't really a right at all, but merely a privilege granted by state government to our communities.

Law governing the initiative process in Washington

https://ballotpedia.org/Laws_governing_the_initiative_process_in_Washington#tab=Laws_and_procedures